

RCOphth Quality Standards & Quality Indicators

for Ophthalmic Care and Services for Children and Young People

(draft April 2011)

PURPOSE and SCOPE

The Royal College of Ophthalmologists has developed the <u>Quality Standards</u> and <u>Quality Indicators / Metrics</u> set out in this document with the aim of helping to improve the structure, processes and health outcomes of ophthalmic care and services for children and young people.

At present there are few robust health *outcome* measures that can be used as quality measures for paediatric ophthalmology. Therefore the focus of quality improvement and measurement at present is on improving the *processes* of care that are considered to be linked to health outcomes.

This document comprises

- a) <u>Quality Standards</u> for Ophthalmic Care and Services for Children and Young People, comprising overarching statements describing the key aspects of care/services for this population
- b) Quality Indicators / Metrics for Ophthalmic Care and Services for Children and Young People, for assessing the degree to which Quality Standards are being achieved, to identify areas for quality improvement and to measure the impact of quality improvement initiatives. These include the RCOphth Quality Indicators Tool for Paediatric Ophthalmology which focuses on key aspects of service provision and can be used as a quality improvement tool, an audit tool and to support professional appraisal and revalidation processes.

Prepared by the RCOphth Paediatric Sub-committee for the RCOphth Quality Standards Group. Comments or queries to: Jugnoo Rahi, Institute of Child Health, UCL, London j.rahi@ich.ucl.ac.uk or telephone 020 7905 2250

Royal College of Ophthalmologists' Quality Standards

for Ophthalmic Care and Services for Children and Young People

In a service providing ophthalmic care to children and young people:

Quality Statement		Source of Evidence	Quality Indicators / Metrics	Measurement method / actions
1	Care is child and family centred and accommodates the specific and changing needs of children and young	Consensus RCOphth 'Ophthalmic Services for	a) Use RCOphth Quality Indicators Tool for Paediatric Ophthalmology (Items 1,3,4,5,6,11,12,16,21)	Score as indicated.
	people with ophthalmic disorders and/or visual impairment.	Children' NSF for Children Evidence synthesis eg Cochrane Reviews, Health Technology	b) Evidence from parent and child (patient) experience surveys, using validated instruments where available, to examine different aspects of patient experience eg satisfaction with services, degree of 'family centredness' of services, quality of communications, accessibility of services	Report dates, design and findings of survey and summarise actions to effect improvement (if any) with date for implementation date.
		Assessment Reports , NHS Evidence, and other peer reviewed literature	c) Evidence of processes for transition of care to adolescent and/or adult services	Report on development, use and audit of processes relating to transition of care

C	uality Statement	Source of Evidence	Quality Indicators / Metrics	Measurement method / actions
2	research evidence, is provided in the areas of diagnosis, treatment and	Consensus RCOphth 'Ophthalmic Services for	a) Use RCOphth Quality Indicators Tool for Paediatric Ophthalmology (Items 6,7,8,9,10,12,16,17,18,19,20,21)	Score as indicated.
	visual (re)habilitation of children and young people with ophthalmic disorders and/or visual impairment.	Children' NSF for Children Evidence synthesis eg	b) Evidence that specialist clinical care is provided which draws on the best available research, and, wherever possible, using agreed written clinical protocols	Report the clinical protocols in use (and level of concordance) or in development.
		Cochrane Reviews, Health Technology Assessment Reports , NHS Evidence	c) Evidence that key clinical outcomes of health care are regularly assessed and compared, with adjustment for case mix, with available national or regional outcomes data.	Report on development or use of an outcomes framework for routine/regular collection and evaluation of outcomes for the key disorders managed in the service.
			d) Evidence that patient reported outcomes are assessed, where possible using validated instruments.	Report outcomes measured and comparison with outcomes from highest quality available sources eg from national audits or multicentre prospective studies. Report analysis of actions to improve outcomes (if any) with date for implementation. Report the patient reported outcomes used in outcomes framework and action to improve outcomes (if any)

2011/PROF/117

Q	uality Statement	Source of Evidence	Quality Indicators / Metrics	Measurement method / actions
3	There is a sufficient, skilled and competent multiprofessional team providing	Consensus RCOphth 'Ophthalmic	a) Use RCOphth Quality Indicators Tool for Paediatric Ophthalmology (Items 3,6,18,19,20,21))	Score as indicated.
	ophthalmic care.	Services for Children' NSF for Children	b) Evidence of service development informed by formal activity analysis and clinical audits	Use activity analysis and clinical audits to identify gaps in manpower/personnel for planning service development. Report action to address these gaps.
			c) Evidence of annual staff appraisals to identify staff development activities and inform job planning	Report on percentage of staff having appraisals each year and percentage achieving objectives set in the previous personal development plan.
Quality Statement		Source of Evidence	Quality Indicators / Metrics	Measurement method / actions
4	The general health, educational and social care needs of children and young people with ophthalmic disorders and/or visual impairment are recognised and addressed by working with relevant professionals and services.	Consensus RCOphth 'Ophthalmic Services for Children' NSF for Children Warnock Report	a) Use RCOphth Quality Indicators Tool for Paediatric Ophthalmology (Items 312,13,14,15,19) b) Evidence of contribution to formal health, education or social care processes eg statement of special educational needs	Score as indicated. Record number of formal reports completed each year eg for statement of special educational needs

<u>Q</u>	uality Statement	Source of Evidence	Quality Indicators / Metrics	Measurement method / actions
5	and their parents/carers are encouraged and supported to	Consensus RCOphth 'Ophthalmic	a) RCOphth Quality Indicators Tool for Paediatric Ophthalmology (Items 2,3)	Score as indicated.
	be involved in planning and providing ophthalmic care.	Services for Children' NSF for Children	b) Evidence of improving patient and parent knowledge and understanding of ophthalmic disorders and/or visual impairment	Report methods for informing patients and parents about disorders, treatment, clinical investigations
		VISION 2020	c) Evidence of supporting/enhancing patient/parent concordance with treatment	Report strategies to measure and improve concordance and how improvements have been assessed

Royal College of Ophthalmologists'

Quality Indicators Tool for Paediatric Ophthalmology

This questionnaire tool provides one method of assessing care and services in relation to the RCOphth's Quality Standards for Ophthalmic Care and Services for Children and Young People.

The items in this questionnaire cover the inter-related domains of Patient Experience, Clinical Effectiveness and Safety (in keeping with the 'Darzi' Indicators of Quality Improvement). The items are cross-referenced to the Quality Statements for which they could serve as a metric.

This tool has been developed to be consistent with other RCOphth Quality Indicator Tools and with relevant NICE Quality Standards.

Domain: Patient Experience

1. Accessible written information is provided to parents and young people about eye disorders and their treatment.

Metric for Quality Statement 1.

YES = 1

NO = 0

UNABLE TO ANSWER, specify why

2. Copies of clinic letters are routinely provided to parents, unless there is specific concern it may be harmful.

Metric for Quality Statement 5.

YES = 1

NO = 0

UNABLE TO ANSWER, specify why

3. Structured support is provided to families of children newly diagnosed as visually impaired (eg through an Eye Clinic Liaison Officer or a Key Worker service). Metric for Quality Statements 1, 3, 4 & 5.

 $YES = 1 \qquad NO = 0$

UNABLE TO ANSWER, specify why

2011/PROF/117 6

Domain: Patient Experience cont'd

4. The clinical environment is accessible and appropriate to needs of children, young people and their families.

Metric for Quality Statement 1

YES = 1

NO = 0

UNABLE TO ANSWER, specify why

5. Child and/or family ('patient') experience is measured, using validated tools where possible (eg assessment of satisfaction with services, quality of communications, family-centredness of services, accessibility)

Metric for Quality Statements 1,2,4& 5

YES = 1

NO = 0

UNABLE TO ANSWER, specify why

Domains: Clinical effectiveness and Safety

6. Children and young people are seen in dedicated children's clinics unless there is a specific clinical reason to do otherwise (eg sub-specialty provision)

Metric for Quality Statements 1,2 & 3

YES = 1

NO = 0

UNABLE TO ANSWER, specify why

7. Children and young people are offered appointments in a timely manner according to clinical need.

Metric for Quality Statement 2

YES = 1

NO = 0

UNABLE TO ANSWER, specify why

8. Urgent outpatient assessment by a consultant ophthalmologist is available for all children and young people in whom serious visual disability or ophthalmic disease is suspected.

Metric for Quality Statement 2

YES = 1

NO = 0

UNABLE TO ANSWER, specify why

Domains: Clinical effectiveness and Safety cont'd

9. Children requiring specialist ophthalmic management are referred appropriately (eg patients with suspected ocular malignancies referred to a nationally designated centre or appropriate subspecialist)

Metric for Quality Statement 2

YES = 1

NO = 0

UNABLE TO ANSWER, specify why

10. There is ready access to general and specialist paediatricians for assessment and advice.

Metric for Quality Statement 2

YES = 1

NO = 0

UNABLE TO ANSWER, specify why

11. There is access to specialist diagnostic and visual rehabilitation services / facilities catering for the needs of children and young people (eg electrophysiology, MRI, low vision aids, genetic counselling)

Metric for Quality Statement 1

YES = 1

NO = 0

UNABLE TO ANSWER, specify why

12. All visually impaired children and young people are referred to their local Consultant Paediatrician (Community or Neurodisability) for multidisciplinary assessment by a child development and/or a visual impairment team.

Metric for Quality Statement 1, 2, 4

Wellie for Quality Statement 1, 2, -

YES = 1

NO = 0

UNABLE TO ANSWER, specify why

13. All eligible children and young people are offered certification as sight impaired or severely sight impaired.

Metric for Quality Statement 4

YES = 1

NO = 0

UNABLE TO ANSWER, specify why

Domains: Clinical effectiveness and Safety cont'd

14. All visually impaired children and young people are notified to the Specialist Visual Impairment Teaching Service/Team (directly or via the Community / Neurodisability Paediatrician, Child Development Team or VI Team)

Metric for Quality Statement 4

YES = 1

NO = 0

UNABLE TO ANSWER, specify why

15. Written information is routinely sent to other key professionals involved in management (eg copies of clinic letters or discharge summaries to community or neurodisability paediatrician)

Metric for Quality Statement 4

YES = 1

NO = 0

UNABLE TO ANSWER, specify why

16. Children and young people undergoing surgery are:

Metric for Quality Statement 1 & 2

a) scheduled onto dedicated ophthalmic surgical lists unless there is a specific clinical reason (eg sub-specialty requirements or urgent procedure)

YES = 1

NO = 0

UNABLE TO ANSWER, specify why

b) operated on by surgeons with appropriate training and experience

YES = 1

NO = 0

UNABLE TO ANSWER, specify why

c) anaesthetized by anaesthetists with appropriate training and experience

YES = 1

NO = 0

UNABLE TO ANSWER, specify why

17. All children and young people with suspected reduced vision undergo ophthalmic assessment using techniques / methods appropriate to their age and development, including refraction and fundus examination after cycloplegia.

Metric for Quality Statement 2

YES = 1

NO = 0

UNABLE TO ANSWER, specify why

Domains: Clinical effectiveness and Safety cont'd

18. There is a designated lead for children's ophthalmic services

Metric for Quality Statement 2 & 3

YES = 1

NO = 0

UNABLE TO ANSWER, specify why

19. Staff providing ophthalmic care have received relevant mandatory training in relation to working with children and young people (eg paediatric life support and child safeguarding)

Quality Statement 2 & 4

YES = 1

NO = 0

UNABLE TO ANSWER, specify why

20. Clinical audits assessing health care outcomes are undertaken regularly to inform clinical practices and staff and service development.

Metric for Quality Statement 2 & 3

YES = 1

NO = 0

UNABLE TO ANSWER, specify why

21. There is an agreed process for transition of care to adolescent or adult services. Metric for Quality Statements 1,2,3 & 5

YES = 1

NO = 0

UNABLE TO ANSWER, specify why